

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/662,589 | 09/15/2003 | Joseph Gryzlak | 1377.177 1280 | |
| 75 | 90 05/18/2004 | | EXAM | INER |
| Perry J. Saidman, Esq. | | | YEUNG, JAMES C | |
| SAIDMAN DesignLaw Group Suite 510 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 1110 BONIFANT STREET | | | 3749 | |
| Silver Spring, MD 20910 | | | DATE MAILED: 05/18/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | 1101 | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| ٠. | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | 10/662,589 | GRYZLAK, JOSEPH | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| · · | James C Yeung | 3749 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL | VIS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH | VS) FROM | | | | |
| THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON | imely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 S | September 2003. | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This | s action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under I | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4 | 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application | ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-18</u> is/are allowed. | ☑ Claim(s) <u>13-18</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 20</u> is/are rejected. | ☑ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 20</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-12</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | ction is required if the drawing(s) is o | objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | xaminer. Note the attached Offic | ce Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | nts have been received. Its have been received in Applica Ority documents have been receiveu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ation No ved in this National Stage | | | | |
| Amarkan ant/a) | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) 🔲 Interview Summa | ry (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s)/Mail | Date I Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/662,589

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Herzog. The structure as claimed is fully anticipated by Herzog. In particular Herzog shows in Figs.1-3 a collapsible candle stand comprising:

at least one vertically-orientated rod (11), having an upper end and a lower end; at lest one horizontally-orientated ring (15), having at least one candle holder (17) provided thereon; and

means (14) for removably attaching the at least one ring (15) to the at least one rod (11).

3. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Crouch. The structure as claimed is fully anticipated by Crouch. In particular Crouch shows in Figs.1-5 and 11-13 a collapsible candle stand comprising:

horizontal means (17, Fig. 1) for holding a plurality of candles (15); vertical means (12 and 40, Fig. 11) for supporting the horizontal means (17);

Application/Control Number: 10/662,589

Art Unit: 3749

first attaching means (48, Fig. 13) for rigidly attaching the vertical together to form a tripod; and

second attachment means (26 and 27, Fig. 3) for rigidly attaching the horizontal means (17, Fig. 1) to the vertical means (12 and 40, Fig. 11).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herzog in view of Fullam.

Fullam teaches the use of a tripod structure comprising a first rod (50), a second rod (52), and a third rod (54) for the purpose of forming a free-standing candle supporting stand.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the candle stand of Herzog in the manner as taught by Fullam in order to form a free-standing candle supporting stand.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,589

Art Unit: 3749

Page 4

6. Claims 3-11 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Harrison is cited to show a portable light holder.

Each of Kiesele and Barfus is cited to show a portable candle stand.

Nasmith is cited to show a tripod-supporting device.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to James C Yeung whose telephone number is 703 308-1047. The

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JΥ

May 14, 2004

James C. Yeung